## THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MEGHALAYA, MANIPUR, TRIPURA MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH) ITANAGAR PERMANENT BENCH **NAHARLAGUN**

Appeal from Writ Petition (Civil) BA No..43.. (AP) 2011

Stri Taba Tagate
-Versus-

Appellant Petitioner

-Versus-The State of AP.

Respondent **Opposite Party** 

Counsel for the Appellant Petitioner

MR T. Tagum

Counsel for the Respondent **Opposite Party**  PP AP.

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial		Office,note,reports,orders or Proceeding with signature	
	No.			
· (1)	(2)		(4)	
		-		
		-		
			*.	

## IN THE MATTER OF:

Shri Taba Tagar

Village:- Rono,

P.O/P.S:- Doimukh.

Dist: - Papum Pare.

.....Applicant

-Versus-

The State of Arunachal

Pradesh

..... Respondent

er or Advocate	Serial No.	· Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
1	2	3	
			4
•	100		
			BA 03 (AP) 2011
			7531
			BEFORE
			THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K.MUSAHARY
•		[13	<sup>th</sup> January, 2011]
•			Heard Mr. T. Tagum, learned counsel for the
		ре	titioner, who has filed the present application under
	•		ction 439 Cr.P.C. for releasing the accused Shri Tana
			na in connection with Doimukh P.S. Case No.
			2010 registered under Sections 342/365/376 IPC.
			1 3 42/303/3/6 IPC.
			An application was earlier moved before the
•		lea	rned JMFC, Doimukh and the same was rejected
			le order dated 29-12-2010 after perusing the case
			ary.
		die	7
		· ·	Mr. Taguna la must de la companya de
		cul	Mr. Tagum, learned counsel for the petitioner
			mits that there are more than one FIR in the same
			tter and the subsequent FIRs should not be treated
			FIR and it can only be taken as statement under
			tion 162 of the Cr.P.C. Moreover, the Officer-in-
			arge concerned has fabricated the story in collusion
		WIT	h the informant to harass the accused person.
			The aforesaid submission of the learned
•			nsel for the petitioner is not to be decided at this
		sta	T
			I have perused the relevant case diary as
		pro	duced by Mr. I. Basar, learned Addl. P.P.;
			The victim girl was medically examined and the
•	8.	do	tor opined that she was hardly 13 years of age. In
		the	medical report, it is also found that there is no
		sigi	
	-	Sec	tion 164 Cr.P.C. before the Magistrate, she clearly
			de a statement that she was raped by the accused
*			ove named

above named.

er or Advocate	Serial Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
	2 3	And the state of t
		- 4
•		
		Because of the aforesaid statement of the
		victim girl, I do not consider it as a fit case for
		granting bail to the accused person at this stage.
-		
*	* *	Accordingly, this petition is rejected.
1 -		However, the accused person may approach
'		the learned Court below for sending him under
		judicial custody inasmuch as he has been kept under
		police custody for more than one month since he was
		arrested on 03-12-2010.
		JUDGE
		sd
,	-	
	*	
	_	
		4
*		
h .		
	N. vi I	

\*

\*